

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,545	08/01/2003	Michael F. Brletich	12539	2681
7590 10/19/2006		EXAMINER		
PAUL F. DONOVAN ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS INC. 3600 WEST LAKE AVENUE GLENVIEW, IL 60025			GARCIA, ERNESTO	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3679	
		DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/632,545	BRLETICH ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ernesto Garcia	3679			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vote to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timused and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE!	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 At</u>	ugust 2006				
		action is non-final.				
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	•	0			
4) 又	Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-16 and 21-23</u> is/are pending in	the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>21-23</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	∑ Claim(s) <u>9 and 11-16</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · ·	` '			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
_	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attach	Wa)					
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Thionian Com-	(DTO 442)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Inforr Pape	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Election of Species

Claims 21-23 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicants timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 06/20/2005.

With regards to the withdrawal of amended claim 21, note that this claim is no longer readable on the elected species and generic. The claim requires the aperture engaging member 40 be located on a portion of the flexible extension member 26 extending between and within the space defined by the end cap 62 and a portion of the body 76 that is spaced farthest from the end cap 62. However, the aperture-engaging member 40 is not located as described on the claim. Applicant should note that the aperture-engaging member 40 is away from a portion of the body that is spaced farthest from the end cap 62 and not being between and within the spaced defined by the end cap and the portion of the body spaced farthest from the end cap.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Antonucci et al., 6,119,306.

Regarding claim 1, Antonucci et al. disclose, in Fig. 35, a device comprising a fastener plug 13 including a plug portion 20' and a fastener portion 24. The plug portion 20' defines an end cap 20' and at least one disc 28 spaced apart from the end cap 20'. The fastener portion 24 defines a flexible extension member 27 extending outwardly from the end cap 20'. The extension member 27 includes a projecting member 26'. Given the structure of Antonucci et al. above, the disc is sized and shaped to mate with and seal an open end of a bar. The flexible extension member is able to flex as the member is installed in the open end of the bar and snap back to an original position when the projection member engages an aperture in a sidewall of the bar. Further, the disc defines a diameter slightly larger than a diameter of the open end of the bar, and the projection member can be adapted for operatively engaging the aperture in the sidewall of the bar.

Regarding claim 2, the end cap **20'** defines a peripheral edge **B1** and a flat surface edge **B2** along the peripheral edge **B1**. Note, the claims are written with a broad breadth that Antonucci et al. reads on this claim. Applicants should consider rephrasing that the peripheral edge has a truncated edge or truncated surface.

Regarding claim 3, a rib A1 connects the disc 28 to the end cap 20' (see marked-up attachment provided in the Office action mailed on 7/14/2005).

Regarding claim 4, the disc 28 is a plurality of discs 28 spaced apart from the end cap 20'.

Regarding claim 5, the extension member 27 extends upwardly from the end cap 20'.

Regarding claim 6, the extension member 27 extends across the discs 28.

Regarding claim 7, the discs **28** define a flat surface edge **A2** (see marked-up attachment provided in last Office action; Figure 40).

Regarding claim 8, the projection member 26' defines an inclined surface A2 (see Figure 41).

Claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Popsys, 5,496,141.

Regarding claim 1, Popsys discloses, in Fig. 2, a device comprising a fastener plug 10 including a plug portion 12 and a fastener portion 18. The plug portion 12 defines an end cap 12 and at least one 22 spaced apart from the end cap 12. The fastener portion 18 defines a flexible extension member 14 extending outwardly from the end cap 12. The extension member 14 includes a projecting member 16. Given the structure of Popsys above, the disc is sized and shaped to mate with and seal an open end of a bar. The flexible extension member is able to flex as the member is installed in the open end of the bar and snap back to an original position when the projection member engages an aperture in a sidewall of the bar. Further, the disc defines a diameter slightly larger than a diameter of the open end of the bar.

Regarding claim 2, the end cap **12** defines a peripheral edge and a flat surface edge along the peripheral edge. Note, the claims are written with a broad breadth that Popsys reads on this claim. Applicants should consider rephrasing that the peripheral edge has a truncated edge or truncated surface.

Regarding claim 4, the one disc 22 is a plurality of discs 20,22 spaced apart from the end cap 12.

Regarding claim 5, the extension member **14** extends upwardly from the end cap **12**.

Claims 1-3, 5, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kwilosz, 6,799,931.

Regarding claim 1, Kwilosz disclose, in Fig. 5, a device comprising a fastener plug 10 including a plug portion 200 and a fastener portion 14. The plug portion 200 defines an end cap 200 and at least one disc 12 spaced apart from the end cap 200. The fastener portion 14 defines a flexible extension member 22 extending outwardly from the end cap 200. The extension member 22 includes a projecting member 54. Given the structure of Kwilosz above, the disc is sized and shaped to mate with and seal an open end of a bar. The flexible extension member is able to flex as the member is installed in the open end of the bar and snap back to an original position when the projection member engages an aperture in a sidewall of the bar. Further, the disc defines a diameter slightly larger than a diameter of the open end of the bar.

Regarding claim 2, the end cap **200** defines a peripheral edge and a flat surface edge along the peripheral edge. Note, the claims are written with a broad breadth that Kwilosz reads on this claim. Applicants should consider rephrasing that the peripheral edge has a truncated edge or truncated surface.

Regarding claim 3, a rib 30 connects the disc 12 to the end cap 200.

Regarding claim 5, the extension member 22 extends upwardly from the end cap 200.

Regarding claim 8, the projection member **54** defines an inclined surface (the ramp).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9 and 11-16 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

regarding claim 9, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device comprising a fastener plug including an end cap defining a flat truncated surface along a peripheral edge; there is no motivation absent applicant's own disclosure to modify Popsys, 5,496,141, Kwilosz, 6,799,931, Antonucci et al., 6,119,306, and Gieling et al., 5,144,780, because there is no requirement or need for a flat truncated surface to permit water or waste to drain out of a trashcan bar 20 when used with the device;

regarding claims 11-14, these claims directly or indirectly depend from claim 9; regarding claim 15, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device comprising an extension member extending across a flat surface edge of a plurality of discs; there is no motivation absent applicant's own disclosure to modify the closest

prior art to Popsys, 5,496,141, and Antonucci et al., 6,119,306, because the extension member in Popsys extends perpendicular to the device, and the discs in Antonucci et al. do not contain any flat surface edge to allow the extension member to be across the flat surface edge; and,

regarding claim 16, the prior art of record does not disclose or suggest a device comprising an aperture-engaging member defining opposing columns joined together by a rib; there is no motivation absent applicant's own disclosure to modify the references because no one teaches the subject matter to facilitate insertion and removal of the aperture-engaging member within and out of an opening 44 formed in a trashcan bar.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed August 4, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants argue that the language "adapted for" clause states a condition that is material to patentability. In response, note that the clause merely recites the intended purpose of the projection member. It should be noted that patentability is based on the structural differences of the device and not how it operates or how it is intended to be employed. Accordingly, the structure, i.e., the projecting member, described in the references meets the claim and inherently can be adapted as purportedly recited.

Applicants have not shown that such projection member in the references cannot be adapted as defined in the claim.

With regards to claim 21, note the withdrawal of this claim on page 2.

Conclusion

The following prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Obitts, 6,079,894, Araki, 6,659,411, Blankenburg, 4,898,493. and Mair, 4,956,900 show a similar device.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ernesto Garcia whose telephone number is 571-282-7083. The examiner can normally be reached from 9:30-5:30. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached at 571-272-7087.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

E.G.

October 11, 2006

DANIEL P. STODOLA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

aniel P Stodola